



“Disaster Victim Identification”: An Advanced Forensic Approach in Mass Fatalities: A Review Article

Tanha Khan^{1*}, Chandni Gupta², Arpan Manna³, Vasundhara⁴, M. K. Sunil⁵, Pallavi Gupta⁶

¹Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College and Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, India.

²Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College and Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, India.

³Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College and Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, India.

⁴Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College and Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, India.

⁵Professor and Head, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College and Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, India.

⁶Intern Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College and Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, India.

[Review Article](#)

Address for Correspondence Author

Dr. Tanha Khan; Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College and Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, India.

E-mail: ktanha170@gmail.com

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.36437/ijdrd.2021.3.1.K>

ABSTRACT

Nowadays disasters are common, may it be natural, accidental, or a result of terrorism, causing the loss of numerous lives. In such disasters, it becomes necessary for the forensic experts to identify the victims and aid in the return of recovered human remains to the victim's family. Today various methods are used for Disaster Victim Identification (DVI), which is making this process of identification rapid.

Keywords: Disaster Victim Identification, PMCT, DNA, Fingerprints.

Introduction

Every day various disasters and calamities are reported which results in the loss of a large number of human lives. There occurs certain disasters which cause the destruction of human body parts on such a level that the identification of these human remains becomes very difficult.¹ In any kind of disaster, identification of the victim is the utmost important thing and it becomes necessary so as to return the remains to their families as well as for judicial reasons.²



Mass fatality incidents are also known as 'disasters' produce a huge number of victims.³ Forensic experts use various kinds of scientific approaches and procedures for identification as well as investigation purposes.¹ This Disaster victim identification is achieved by DVI protocols.³

Disaster Victim Identification is not an easy task but it is very essential. This procedure involves the role of many individuals starting from the rescue team to forensic pathologists, odontologists, anthropologists, etc. Various methods are used for the identification purpose of the victims which includes visual identification of the bodies or of the personal belongings recovered from the victims, use of radiology such as Post Mortem Computed Tomography, digital fingerprint, DNA typing, etc.⁴

History of Imaging in Human Identification: Nowadays, Radiology is an established tool for DVI used by forensic odontologists.⁵ Radiology was first used for Human Identification in the year 1927 by Culbert and Law. In this case, the ante-mortem and post-mortem radiographs of frontal sinuses of the victims were compared.³

Radiography played an important role in the identification of the victims of "Noronic" disaster in Toronto, Canada. Out of 119 victims of that disaster, 24 were positively identified with the help of comparative odontology radiography.^{5,6,7}

Role of Radiology in DVI: As the bones and teeth are the last tissues to change after death, radiography proves to be an important tool for the identification of the victims as radiography can reveal essential information about the deceased.⁸ It is claimed that 55% of victims of various disasters are identified with the help of various radiological methods.⁹ Currently, a maximum number of positive identification in DVI is done using DNA, forensic odontology, and radiology.¹⁰

Post- mortem and Ante-mortem radiographic images can be used as a comparative tool to identify the victim. Just like fingerprints, radiographic images of the frontal sinus play an important role in victim identification as frontal sinuses are unique to each and every individual. So Ante-mortem and Post- mortem radiographic images of frontal sinus of victims can be compared for identification purpose.¹¹

Various Modalities Used for Disaster Victim Identification:

1. Use of Post- Mortem Computed Tomography (PMCT)
2. Use of Fingerprints
3. Use of DNA

Role of PMCT in Disaster Victim Identification: PMCT can be used as an important modality for DVI. It can exclude the need for an invasive examination. PMCT can be used to reconstruct dental data by producing a 3D data set to a single 2D image. However, using PMCT for dental identification will reduce the use of other medical imaging modalities. But, in individuals with dental restorations such as amalgam restorations, PMCTs can't be of much use because of the artifacts produced due to restorations.³

The use of CT in DVI helps in the identification of the disfigured body as well as fragmented body parts in a significant way.¹²

PMCT Reporting: In recent years, PMCT is used frequently as an investing modality and hence its use in radiology is also increasing. In DVI, it is necessary to develop a PMCT recording format, which must include



an identification reporting section. A standard PMCT report must include an ample amount of information about the victims, which must be recorded in a standard format. This will help multiple investigators to use this data for identification purposes. Without any correct reporting format, the investigators have to use their judgements about what to include in the reports and how to make their written reports.³

Role of Fingerprints in DVI: The role of fingerprints in DVI is significantly increasing for identification. On the other hand, fingerprints are the most commonly used biometric identifier which is used nowadays. Collection of Ante-mortem fingerprints is becoming easier in today's time as the use of biometrics is increasing globally. This method can become complicated in cases where the victims were exposed to extreme heat and no usable fingerprints can be retrieved, hence identification becomes difficult.¹³

Methods for Recording Fingerprint in DVI: Various methods are used for this such as the Ink and Paper method, Use of Fingerprint Powder, and Adhesive labels. Digital methods such as scanners are also in use nowadays. Digital Photography is another mode which can be used when it cannot be recorded physically. The condition of the victim usually decides which methodology to use for capturing the records, but lastly, the result will be the same, irrespective of any method used.¹³

Role of DNA in Disaster Victim Identification: Nowadays, DVI has been made much easier with the help of DNA- based approach. In this method, DNA samples are retrieved from the victims for identification. DNA Typing is comparatively faster as compared to other methods. Extractions of DNA can be carried out within minimal time and family members of suspected victims can provide reference samples for comparison. In the case of fragmented body parts, each and every tissue fragment of the victim should be analyzed for the reconstruction of the body so that comparison can be done with reference samples.^{14,15,16}

Conclusion: Disasters can occur at any time and place claiming thousands of lives of individuals. DVI plays a major role in the individual identification of victims in such cases. Various methods are used for DVI and further studies are going on for better approaches so that rapid identification of badly damaged bodies can be done.

References

1. Prof. Peter Ellis (2019) Modern advances in disaster victim identification, *Forensic Sciences Research*, 4:4, 291-292, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/20961790.2019.1678798>
2. Martin Sidler, Christian Jackowski, Richard Dirnhofer, Peter Vock, Michael Thali. Use of multislice computed tomography in disaster victim identification- Advantages and limitations. *Forensic Science International* 169 (2007) 118-128. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.08.004>
3. A.L. Brough, et al., The basics of disaster victim identification, *Journal of Forensic Radiology and Imaging* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jofri.2015.01.002i>
4. Eleanor A. M. Graham. Disaster Victim Identification. *Forensic Science, Medicine, and Pathology* V2-3; 203-207 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12024-006-0011-0>
5. Singleton A.C. Roentgenological Identification of Victims of the "Noronic" Disaster. *Am J Roentgenology*. 1951; 66: 375-384.
6. Brown T.C., Delaney R.J., and Robinson W.L. Medical Identification in the "Noronic" Disaster. *J.A.M.A.* 1952; 148: 621-627; <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1952.02930080031009>



7. Robert B. Elliott, The Value of Roentgenology in the Identification of Mutilated and Burnt Bodies. J. Crim. L. Criminology & Police Sci. 1953; 43: 682-684. <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/jclc/vol43/iss5/12/>
8. Jenson, R.A., 2000. Mass Fatality and Casualty Incidents: A Field Guide. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
9. Kahana, T., Hiss, J., 1999. Forensic radiology. Br. J. Radiol. 72 (854), 129-133. <https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.72.854.10365061>
10. Meyer, H.J., 2003. The Kaprun cable car fire disaster-aspects of forensic organisation following a mass fatality with 155 victims. Forensic Sci. Int. 138, 1-7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0379-0738\(03\)00352-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0379-0738(03)00352-9)
11. Quatrehomme, G., Fronty, P., Sapanet, M., Grévin, G., Bailet, P., Ollier, A., 1996. Identification by frontal sinus pattern in forensic anthropology. Forensic Sci. Int. 83, 147-153. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0379-0738\(96\)02033-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0379-0738(96)02033-6)
12. Soren Blau, Ph.D.; Shelley Robertson, F.R.C.P.A.; and Marnie Johnstone. Disaster Victim Identification: New Applications for Postmortem Computed Tomography. J Forensic Sci, July 2008, Vol. 53, No. 4. 956-961, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2008.00742.x>
13. Bryan T. Johnson & John A. J. M. Riemen (2018): Digital capture of fingerprints in a disaster victim identification setting: a review and case study, Forensic Sciences Research, vol4, issue4; 293-302; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/20961790.2018.1521327>
14. Corach D. Mass Disaster Victim Identification assisted by DNA Typing. Elsevier 2010; 407-15.
15. Vyas T. Radiographic determination: An upcoming aid in forensic radiology. J Int Clin Dent Res Organ 2019;11:71-5
16. Vyas, Tarun. "Forensic Odontology: An Overview." International Journal Of Drug Research And Dental Science 2.2 (2020): 1-2.

How to cite this Article: **Tanha Khan, Chandni Gupta, Arpan Manna, Vasundhara, M. K. Sunil, Pallavi Gupta;** *"Disaster Victim Identification": An Advanced Forensic Approach in Mass Fatalities: A Review Article;* Int. J. Drug Res. Dental Sci., 2021; 3(1): 80-83, doi: <https://doi.org/10.36437/ijdrd.2021.3.1.K>

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** Nil.

Received: 12-1-2021 **Revised:** 1-3-2021 **Accepted:** 5-3-2021